Indiana University-Indianapolis Diagnostic Sonography Program

Program Student Learning Outcomes Abdominal Sonography-Extended Concentration

Academic Years in this Report: 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024

Date of Report Completion: October 2022, March 2023, October 2023, March 2024, October 2024

Outcome	Course	Assessment Tool	Benchmark	Results
Students will effectively perform	*RADI-S 453 Medical	Q16 of clinical eval "Overall Clinical	Minimum class average score of 3.5 out of 4.0	4.0 (Class '21)
diagnostic ultrasound procedures.	Sonography Clinical Practicum	Competence and Performance Evaluation		4.0 (Class '22)
(Psychomotor)	III	Criterion"		3.44 (Class '23)
	(2 nd year FA)			
	*Course RADI-R 484 Clinical Practicum			
	DMS III for Class of '23 and previous			
Analysis and Action Plan	· · ·		·	
Class '21: New program outcome. Benchm	ark is equivalent to a B, which we felt wa	s a good starting point. We will review class of '22 re	sults to determine if results are still within the benchmark	. We will consider changin
the benchmark next year.				
-	tion level. This is a very talented cohort o	of students. We will keep the benchmark but will loo	k at next year's results to determine if the 4.0 score is due	to grade inflation from
clinical site or if it's from student talent.				
	•		inging the overall results lower than the benchmark. Cons	idering the previous 2 year
was above the benchmark, we will continu Students will demonstrate	*RADI-S 420 Medical		Minimum class average of 95%	02.20 (Class (21)
		Average score of all tests	Minimum class average of 85%.	92.2% (Class '21)
appropriate knowledge of	Sonography Procedures			95.4% (Class '22)
ultrasound procedures (Cognitive)	(1 st year FA)			99.5% (Class '23)
	(1 st year FA) *Course RADI-R 351 Ultrasound Principles			99.5% (Class '23) 90.5% (Class '24)

Analysis and Action Plan

Class '21: New program outcome. Benchmark reflects all tests which covers all material specific to procedures taught for that course. We will review class of '22 results to determine if this benchmark still reflects the outcome.

Class '22: Results increased from last year. This cohort had a new instructor for this course. A weekly review of the material was added before students started scanning in the lab. Instructor also increased the number of tests, so students were tested over less material per test.

Class '23: Results increased from last year. Since this student cohort is very talented, we will look at next year's benchmark to determine if there is a recurring trend of results.

Class '24: Results decreased from last year. There are only 4 students in this cohort, therefore scores may be affected by the smaller student count. We will continue to monitor the benchmark.

Goal #2: Graduates will demonstrate effective communication skills.					
Outcome	Course	Assessment Tool	Benchmark	Results	
Students will use effective oral communication skills with patients and clinical staff. (Affective)	*RADI-S 452 Medical Sonography Clinical Practicum II (1 st year SP) *Course RADI-R 382 Clinical Practicum DMS II for Class of '23 and previous	Average score from Ultrasound Clinical Eval Q7 "Interpersonal Skills with Patients" and Q8 "Interpersonal Skills with Staff/Other Medical Personnel"	Minimum class average score of 3.5 out of 4.0	4.0 (Class '21) 3.75 (Class '22) 3.97 (Class '23) 3.92 (Class of '24)	

Analysis and Action Plan

Class'21: These skills were evaluated as one question in the clinical evaluation. We believe this does not reflect each of these as separate communication skills. We will separate this criterion into 2 criteria for '22. The benchmark reflects a B score, which we felt was a good starting point.

Class '22: The criteria were separated in the clinical evaluation to better reflect these as separate communication skills. The class average was lower, but we will review that results next year to determine if this a reflection of the different student cohort or if it is from the criterion being separate questions within the evaluation.

Class '23: Results increased from last year. Since this student cohort is very talented, we will look at next year's benchmark to determine if there is a recurring trend of results.

Class '24: Results are similar to last year. The benchmark of 3.5 seems to be appropriate based on the last few years of data. We will continue to monitor for any significant deviations.

Students will demonstrate effective	*RADI-S 410 Sonography	I Ought to Research That! Assignment	Minimum class average score of 22 out of 25	N/A (Class '21)
written communication skills	Orientation		points	24.5 (Class '22)
(Cognitive)	(1 st year SU)			24.7 (Class '23)
				24.9 (Class '24)
	* Course RADI-R 360 Introduction to			24.0 (Class of '25)
	DMS Projects for Class of '23 and			
	previous			

Analysis and Action Plan

Class '21: This assignment was not instituted in 2021. The outcome could not be assessed because there was no written assessment tool available. Will institute a written assignment to assess students' ability to demonstrate effective written communication skills.

Class '22: New program assessment tool. We chose this benchmark as it reflected a B grade, which we felt was a good starting. We will continue to monitor the results to determine if 24.5 was due to student talent or if this average grade is typical for this assignment.

Class '23: There were 8 students in this cohort compared to 4 students in Class '22 cohort. Since the average is approximately the same as last year, we believe this benchmark should be readily achievable. However, we will continue to monitor the results for consistency as the class of '23 generally earned higher grades throughout the program.

Class'24: This cohort scored higher than typical for this benchmark. With 3 years of data and the benchmark readily achievable, we will monitor whether the benchmark should be raised in the future.

Class '25. Results are slightly lower than last year, but still an A grade average. We determined to keep the benchmark at 22/25 unless additional data reflects the need for a change to the benchmark.

Outcome	Course	Assessment Tool	Benchmark	Results
Students will think critically, and	*RADI-S 432 Medical	Right Upper Quadrant Skills Assessment	Class average score of 90%	93.2% (Class '21)
problem solve imaging strategies to	Sonography Lab			92.6% (Class '22)
obtain high quality images.	(1 st year SP)			97.7% (Class '23)
(Psychomotor)				96.0% (Class '24)

Analysis and Action Plan

Class '21: We started with a benchmark of a 90% class average score as it represents a B grade. One out of five students fell below the benchmark. The grading scale was out of 10 points even though the total number of points for the assessment was 222. If the score was between certain number of points, the student was given a corresponding score based out of 10 points. This was too confusing for lab evaluators, so we will change the scoring to be number scored divided by the total possible points. We went back to the evaluations and recalculated the students score based on number scored divided by total possible points to determine average percent score. We will continue to monitor results to determine if this benchmark reflects typical student scores.

Class '22: The same assessment evaluation was used as 2021; however, the scoring system was changed to reflect the number scored divided by the total possible points. This scoring system was much easier for lab evaluators and better reflected the students true score for the assessment. 2 of the 4 students in this cohort scored just below the benchmark.

Class '23: The results went up by nearly 5%. Since this student cohort is very talented, we will look at next year's benchmark to determine if there is a recurring trend of results.

Class '24: The results are slightly lower than last year; however, it is still an A grade average. We will continue to monitor the benchmark to gather more data since the new scoring system was instituted 2022.

Students will evaluate images for	*RADI-S 431 Medical	Anatomy/Pathology In-Class	Minimum class average score of 90% for all	N/A% (Class '21)
differential diagnoses. (Cognitive)	Sonography Lab I	Assignments	Anatomy/Pathology assignments.	100% (Class '22)
	(1 st year FA)			100% (Class '23)
	*Class of '25 Moving to RADI-S 432			100% (Class '24)
	Medical Sonography Lab II (1 st year SP)			1
	*Course RADI-R 362 DMS Lab I for Class of			1
	'23 and previous			

Analysis and Action Plan

Class '21: These assignments were in-class assignments that were not scored. After analysis of this no-grade assignment, we determined the students' time and effort on these assignments were significant enough to warrant a grade. We will implement a grade for each of these assignments for 2022.

Class '22: We added a scoring system for this assignment based on effort and completion as this is group activity. Each assignment score is based out of 20 possible points.

Class '23: These assignments were graded on effort for completing the assignment rather than percent of correct answers.

Class '24: These assignments were graded on effort for completing the assignment rather than percent of correct answers. These assignments will be changed to better align with a cognitive domain outcome and will be scored based on percent of correct answers.

Class '25: Data not available October 2024 assessment meeting. The faculty decided to move this assignment to the spring semester. Rational: Fall semester lab assignments will be more focused on image optimization to coincide with the Physical Principles course. Spring semester will be more focused on pathology coinciding with students having more clinical experience. Additionally, these assignments will be graded for correctness rather than effort.

Indiana University-Indianapolis Diagnostic Sonography Program

Outcome	Course	Assessment Tool	Benchmark	Results
Students will demonstrate	*RADI-S 451 Medical Sonography	Average score from Ultrasound Clinical	Minimum class average score of 3.5 out of 4	3.7 (Class '21)
appropriate professional values in	Clinical Practicum I	Eval Q3 "Student works as a Team		3.95 (Class '22)
the clinical setting. (Affective)	(1 st year FA)	Member" and Q4 "Student is Respectful		4.0 (Class '23)
		and Considerate"		3.91 Class '24)
	*Course RADI-R 381 Clinical Practicum I for			,
	Class of '23 and previous			
Analysis and Action Plan				
	- ·	estions are question 11 and question 16 in this cohe	ort's evaluation. The evaluation will be revised to better	clarify the criterion and to
modify or add questions to reflect clinic				
	ged. The questions are now questions 3 and	d 4 on the current evaluation. The score has increas	ed from last year. We will monitor whether this is due to	the student talent or from
better clarification of the criterion.	abthe from last year. We believe this benchn	ark should be readily achievable. Me will continue	to monitor the results for consistency throughout the up	coming cohorts
	last year, but overall, very high. We will co	•	to monitor the results for consistency throughout the up	coming conorts.
Students will demonstrate an			Minimum class average of 22 out of 25 points	N/A (Class '21)
	*RADI-S 410 Sonography	Scope of Practice, Clinical Standards, and	winimum class average of 22 out of 25 points	
understanding of ethical	Orientation	Code of Ethics Assignment		23.75 (Class '22)
obligations as described in the	(1 st year SU)			24.4 (Class '23)
ARDMS Code of Ethics and Scope				23.75 (Class '24)
of Practice. (Cognitive)	*Course RADI-R 360 Introduction to DMS			24.25 (Class '25)
	Projects for Class of '23 and previous			
Analysis and Action Plan	· · ·		Scope of Practice. As a new Program Director, I will add a	
assignment to assess this skill.	idressed the students cognitive ability to di	iderstand their obligations to the code of Ethics of 3	scope of Fractice. As a new Frogram Director, I will add a	IT OUTCOME and institute an
	an assignment created in R360 to specifical	ly address the Code of Ethics and Scope of Practice I	NEC curriculum guidelines We chose a benchmark of 22	as this reflects a B grade W
8	-		vie curriculum gulucimes. We chose a benefimark of 22	as this reflects a b grade. W
Class '22: This outcome was added, and	me and henchmark for any possible modific:			cc of '22 gonorally oarnod
Class '22: This outcome was added, and will continue to monitor this new outco		ark should be readily achievable However, we will (continue to monitor the results for consistency as the cla-	
Class '22: This outcome was added, and will continue to monitor this new outco Class '23: Since the average is slightly hi		ark should be readily achievable. However, we will o	continue to monitor the results for consistency as the clas	ss of 25 generally earlied
Class '22: This outcome was added, and will continue to monitor this new outco Class '23: Since the average is slightly hi higher grades throughout the program.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	continue to monitor the results for consistency as the cla	ss of 25 generally earlied

Indiana University-Indianapolis Diagnostic Sonography Program

Goal #5: Graduates will have the knowledge of professional development opportunities.				
Outcome	Course	Assessment Tool	Benchmark	Results
Students will demonstrate	*RADI-S 410 Sonography	Professional Development Resource	Minimum class average score of 90%	N/A (Class '21)
knowledge of professional	Orientation	Scavenger Hunt Assignment		92% (Class '22)
development resources.	(1 st year SU)			100% (Class '23)
(Cognitive)				100% (Class '24)
	*Course RADI-R 360 Introduction to DMS Projects for Class of '23 and previous			100% (Class '25)

Analysis and Action Plan

Class '21: There was no outcome that addressed the students' cognitive ability to understand resources available for professional development. As a new Program Director, I will add an outcome and institute an assignment to assess this skill.

Class '22: This outcome was added, and an assignment created in R360 to specifically address the professional development resources NEC and Accreditation curriculum guidelines. We chose a benchmark of 90% as this reflects a B grade. We will continue to monitor this new outcome and benchmark for any possible modifications.

Class '23: There were 8 students in this cohort compared to 4 students in Class '22 cohort. Since the average is higher than last year, we believe this benchmark should be readily achievable. However, we will continue to monitor the results for consistency as the class of '23 generally earned higher grades throughout the program.

Class '24: Results stayed consistent with last year's cohort. Since class of '22 was close to the benchmark, we will continue to monitor for the next few years.

Class '25: Results stayed the same as last year. We will monitor for another year before deciding if the benchmark needs to be adjusted.

Outcome	Course	Assessment Tool	Benchmark	Results
Students will successfully complete the program in 18 months. (Affective)	N/A	Number of students recommended for graduation.	70% of students who entered the program will graduate on time.	100% (Class '21) 100% (Class '22) 88% (Class '23)
high. Class '22: The student retention rate wa	s consistent with our historical trends. We	retention. The program has seen historically very lo anticipate the student retention rate to remain hig ompleted the program in February (20 months) and		t retention rate will remain
Students will express confidence	N/A	Post-Graduation Survey question 22	80% of students will rate the program as Good	100% (Class '21)
in the overall quality of skills		"Overall program quality and	or Excellent	100% (Class '22)
learned while in the program.		preparation as an entry-level		100% (Class '23)
(Affective)		sonography rating question"		
we weren't sure how that may have imp	acted students' ranking of the program. W and end with the new Program Director, h he program.	e will monitor this benchmark to determine if we s	this cohort had a change of Program Director in the middle hould keep or discontinue using the 'Good' ranking. ram with accreditation standards during their time in the p	rogram. We were unsure h